

From:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2016 6:06 PM

To:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject:

FW: 06-28-16_ Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening_ 151583

Attachments:

06-28-16_ Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening_ 151583.pdf

Importance:

High

All,

Please review the attached memorandum regarding screening unaccompanied alien children. As indicated in the memorandum, the following topics must be re-emphasized:

- Independent Decision-Making Ability for UAC from contiguous countries,
- Fear of return due to credible fear of prosecution/persecution; and,
- Victim of severe trafficking or credible evidence of risk of being trafficked upon

Ensure all pertinent Trafficking Victims Protection and Reauthorization Act screening information continues to be documented in the narratives of Form I-213.

Thank you!

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Patrol Agent in Charge
Hebbronville Station

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Office
Cellular

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) On Behalf Of LRTSECURITYPOLICYCOMPLIANCE

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2016 10:18 AM

To: LRT STAFF TASKS (b)(7)(E) LRT SECTOR PROGRAM MANAGERS

(b)(7)(E) LRT STATION COMMAND GML

(b)(7)(E)

Cc: LRT SECURITY POLICY & COMPLIANCE (b)(7)(E)

Subject: 06-28-16_ Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening_ 151583

Good morning: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Please see attached.

Thank You

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Mission Support Specialist
Security, Policy & Compliance
OBP, Laredo Sector

SPC Email: (b)(7)(E)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SPC
OFFICE

Warning: This document is LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated for OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5USC552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from the Office of Border Patrol, Security, Policy and Compliance Department.

(b)(7)(E)



**U.S. Customs and
Border Protection**

JUN 28 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR: Patrol Agents in Charge and Program Managers
Laredo Sector

FROM: Mario Martinez
Chief Patrol Agent
Laredo Sector

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBJECT: Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening

On October 7, 2015, Michael J. Fisher, Chief, U.S. Border Patrol, issued the attached memorandum titled "Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening." Within the memorandum, he directs all Chief Patrol Agents to comply with the guidelines identified in the preceding memorandum of the same title, issued on September 18, 2015, by Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Commissioner R. Gil Kerlikowski. Therein, a report by the U.S. Government Accountability Office is noted as identifying several opportunities for improvement related to our requirements under the Trafficking Victims Protection and Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA).

As a result of the aforementioned report, a complete review and, if applicable, revision of CBP's Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC) screening process is being conducted to assure consistency with the TVPRA. In the interim, the following topics must be re-emphasized:

- Independent Decision-Making Ability for UAC from Contiguous Countries,
- Fear of Return Due to a Credible Fear of Prosecution; and,
- Victim of Severe Trafficking or Credible Evidence of Risk of Being Trafficked Upon.

Please review your station's processing procedures and ensure all pertinent TVPRA screening findings and any other relevant information are documented on Form I-213.

Direct questions to Supervisory Border Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Attachments

(b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

OCT 19 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR: Patrol Agents in Charge and Program Managers
Laredo Sector

FROM: Mario Martinez (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Chief Patrol Agent
Laredo Sector

SUBJECT: Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening

The attached memorandum from Michael J. Fisher, Chief, U.S. Border Patrol regards the, September 18, 2015, memorandum by Commissioner R. Gil Kerlikowske, *Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening*. Within it is a directive that enhances interim guidance issued March 20, 2009, *Implementation of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection and Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA)*.

As a result of a report released by U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) identifying areas of improvement within the UAC screening process, the following points must be re-emphasized:

- Independent Decision-Making Ability for UAC from Contiguous Countries
- Fear of Return Due to a Credible Fear of Prosecution
- Victim of Severe Trafficking or Credible Evidence of Risk of Being Trafficked Upon Return

Ensure to review the attached, *Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening*, processing procedures with all agents and supervisors. Documentation of pertinent TVPRA screening findings and any other relevant information are to be annotated on Form I-213.

Direct questions to Assistant Chief Patrol Agent (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Attachments

(b)(7)(E)

OCT 07 2015



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Chief Patrol Agents
All Directorate Chiefs
All Directorate ~~Executive Directors~~

FROM:

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Michael J. Fisher
Chief (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
U.S. Border Patrol

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBJECT: Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening

On September 18, 2015, Commissioner R. Gil Kerlikowske issued the attached memorandum, *Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC) Screening*. The directive within it provides enhancements to interim guidance issued March 20, 2009, *Implementation of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection and Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA)*.

The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) recently released a report in which CBP can improve upon existing practices regarding the screening process of UACs. To ensure consistency throughout all sectors, the following points must be re-emphasized:

- Independent Decision-Making Ability for UAC from Contiguous Countries
 - The age of a UAC must be considered relative to his or her ability to make an independent decision.
 - UAC's intelligence, education level, mental state, and other factors also should be considered at the time of processing.
- Fear of Return Due to a Credible Fear of Persecution
 - Agents must be alert to identify factors indicating a fear is likely to exist.
- Victim of Severe Trafficking or Credible Evidence of Risk of Being Trafficked Upon Return
 - Agents must use their training and experience, as well as CBP Form 93, to be able to assess indicators of trafficking victimization.

Chief Patrol Agents should review processing procedures within their sectors to ensure that Border Patrol agents document pertinent TVPRA screening findings and any other relevant information on Form I-213.

Direct questions to Associate Chief (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in the Law Enforcement Operations Directorate at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) or Assistant Chief (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Attachment



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

SEP 18 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

FROM: R. Gil Kerlikowske
Commissioner

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBJECT: Unaccompanied Alien Children Screening

In the summer of 2014, an unprecedented number of Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC) and families crossed the Southwest border. The men and women of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) worked tirelessly, compassionately, and with pride and professionalism to respond to the influx. Your dedication and innovation led the whole of government surging in response, and led to a multitude of process improvements that are now standardized practices. This policy memorandum enhances these efforts and allows for the continued maturation of our screening methods for UAC.

Recently, the U.S. Government Accountability Office issued a report examining, among other things, the Department of Homeland Security's ability to screen UAC in accordance with the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act (TVPRA). The report identifies several opportunities for improvement related to our requirements under the TVPRA.

To capitalize on those opportunities, I have directed that the Office of Policy and Planning create a Screening Working Group which will review and, if appropriate, revise CBP's current process of screening UACs consistent with the TVPRA. The screening should assess: a UAC's ability to make independent decisions; whether the UAC has a fear of return due to a credible fear of persecution; and whether the UAC is a victim of a severe form of human trafficking or is at risk of being trafficked if he/she is returned. This group will be informed by selected subject matter experts from the field as well as through benchmarking and information gathering efforts at the headquarters level. Until this work is completed, and in addition to CBP's current screening process, I am providing you more specific guidelines under the TVPRA and other CBP policies.

Specifically, based on the information available at the time of screening while the UAC is in CBP custody, I am directing that all components ensure CBP agents and officers act in a manner consistent with the guidelines taken from the TVPRA, including those listed below:

- Independent Decision-Making Ability for UAC from Contiguous Countries
 - The age of a UAC must be considered relative to his/her ability to make an independent decision.
 - Other factors should be considered including, but not limited to: the UAC's intelligence, education level, familiarity with the immigration process, and physical and mental state at the time of processing.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING: This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with Department of Homeland Security (DHS) policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

- To the extent it is identified by agents/officers, consider a UAC's (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)
its impact on a UAC's ability to make an independent decision.
- (b)(7)(E)
- **Fear of Return Due to a Credible Fear of Persecution**
 - Removal proceedings under Section 240 of the INA must be initiated if a UAC indicates a fear, whether verbally or non-verbally. (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)
 - While CBP conducts the initial UAC screening, validity of claims of credible fear are decided by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.
- **Victim of Severe Trafficking or Credible Evidence of Risk of Being Trafficked Upon Return:**
 - Agents/Officers must use their training and experience as well as the trafficking questions on the current version of CBP Form 93 to assess indicators of past, current, or future trafficking victimization.
 - A UAC who indicates a risk of trafficking, or who has been a victim of a severe form of trafficking in persons, must be put into removal proceedings under Section 240 of the INA.
- **Documentation**
 - Agents/Officers must consistently and accurately document the above factors and any other relevant considerations on the Form I-213.

Finally, I appreciate your continued efforts to safeguard our borders while upholding our duty to protect the children in our custody.

This memorandum does not create or confer any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any third party against the United States, or the officers, employees, agents, or associated personnel thereof. Nothing in this document is intended to restrict the authority to act as provided by law, statute, or regulation or to restrict any agency from enforcing any laws within its authority or jurisdiction.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING: This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with Department of Homeland Security (DHS) policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official.

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2017 5:42 PM
To: HEB-WATCH COMMANDER; HEB-SUPERVISORS
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Laredo POE Contingency Plan
Attachments: Laredo POE Insurgence Contingency Plan.docx

FYSA...

Thank you!

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Patrol Agent in Charge
Hebbronville Station

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Office
Cellular

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 4:37 PM
To: LRT SECTOR OPERATIONS STAFF (b)(7)(E) LRT STATION COMMAND GML
(b)(7)(E)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Laredo POE Contingency Plan

FYSA

Attached please find the POE's Insurgence Contingency Plan.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(a)Assistant Chief Patrol Agent
Laredo Sector Foreign Operations Branch
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Office
Gov
Per

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 4:23 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Laredo POE Contingency Plan

See attached Contingency Plan. Call or email me to the numbers listed below if you have any questions.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Assistant Port Director

Passenger Processing Operations

Office: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Govt. Cell: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

FEB 21 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Chief Patrol Agents
All Directorate Chiefs (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

FROM: Ronald D. Vitiello (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
for Chief
U.S. Border Patrol

SUBJECT: Executive Orders 13767 and 13768 and the Secretary's
Implementation Directions of February 20, 2017

On February 20, 2017, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the attached memoranda titled *"Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies"* and *"Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest."* These new policies outline the implementation of Executive Order 13767, entitled "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements," and Executive Order 13768, entitled "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States," issued by the President on January 25, 2017. Effective immediately, U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) will implement this direction from the Secretary, with particular guidance as set forth below.

A. Policies Regarding the Apprehension and Detention of Aliens Described in Section 235 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

In fulfilling the President's Executive Orders, USBP will, effective immediately, begin implementing new policies to both stem the flow of illegal immigration and facilitate the detection, apprehension, and removal of aliens unlawfully present in the United States. With the exception of the June 15, 2012 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children," (DACA) and the November 20, 2014 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children and With Respect to Certain Individuals Who Are the Parents of U.S. Citizens or Permanent Residents," (DACA and DAPA) all existing conflicting directives, memoranda, or field guidance regarding the enforcement of our immigration laws and priorities for removal are hereby immediately rescinded; including, but not limited to, the November 20, 2014, memoranda entitled "Policies for the Apprehension, Detention and Removal of Undocumented Immigrants," and "Secure Communities." As the referenced 2012 and 2014 DACA and DAPA memoranda remain in effect, along with applicable court orders, the DHS and CBP posture with respect to DACA and DAPA is not affected by issuance of the Secretary's memoranda of February 20, 2017.

B. Priorities For Removal

USBP will take enforcement action against all illegal aliens encountered in the course of their duties who illegally enter, attempt to enter, or who do not have lawful status to be, or remain in, the United States. This includes the referral for criminal prosecution of any alien as appropriate as well as the initiation of removal proceedings against any alien who is subject to removal under any provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

Specifically, USBP shall prioritize for removal those aliens found inadmissible or arrested under sections 212(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(6)(C), 235(b) and (c), and 237(a)(2) and (4) of the INA, with emphasis on criminal aliens demonstrating disregard for the rule of law. USBP should take particular care to prioritize the removal of aliens who:

- (1) Have been convicted of any criminal offense;
- (2) Have been charged with any criminal offense that has not been resolved;
- (3) Have committed acts which constitute a chargeable criminal offense;
- (4) Have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with any official matter before a governmental agency;
- (5) Have abused any program related to receipt of public benefits;
- (6) Are subject to a final order of removal but have not complied with their legal obligation to depart the United States; or
- (7) In the judgment of an immigration officer, otherwise pose a risk to public safety or national security.

Aliens listed above do not necessarily have to be placed in removal proceedings based on a criminal ground of inadmissibility or removability. Instead, USBP should prioritize individuals within the above priorities for removal proceedings within the lawfully available removable grounds.

Additionally, agents are reminded that individuals that are found to be present without admission (PWA), require coordination with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) for potential detention space. All arrests are an opportunity to gain valuable border security information and are eligible for removal proceedings within the lawfully available removable grounds.

The enforcement priorities should be implemented in accordance with the Border Patrol Consequence Delivery System in the following order of preference:

- (1) Expedited Removal (ER), if applicable
- (2) Notice to Appear
- (3) Voluntary Return

C. Implementing the Provisions of Section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA to Return Aliens to Contiguous Countries

As set forth in INA 235(b)(2)(C), aliens arriving from Mexico or Canada that are processed via Notice to Appear may be returned to that country pending a hearing before an immigration judge. Given the need for further leadership coordination prior to implementation of this authority, USBP

Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies including Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest

Page 3

will postpone full implementation of this specific section of the INA until such arrangements are in place, in coordination with the Governments of Mexico and Canada as may be appropriate, and upon the development and issuance of further USBP guidance.

D. Detention of Aliens in Border Patrol Custody

Consistent with the Secretary's memorandum "Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Policies," and in close consultation with ICE's Enforcement and Removal Operations' (ERO) efforts to expand detention space, USBP should work to refer for detention all aliens placed in removal proceedings until such time as the alien is transferred to another federal, state or local entity. Aliens who have been placed in expedited removal, including family units, may not be released or paroled from USBP's custody except where:

1. The release is part of USBP's overall effort of removing or permitting the alien to depart from the United States.
2. USBP determines the individual is a U.S. citizen, LPR, returning refugee, or asylee.
3. USBP determines that the alien has received an order granting relief or protection from removal.
4. Where, in consultation with local Associate/Assistant Chief Counsel as may be appropriate, the release is determined to be required by statute, judicial order, or settlement.
5. Parole is approved by the Chief Patrol Agent on a case by case basis, with the written concurrence of the Deputy Director of ICE and the Deputy Commissioner of CBP.
6. Parole is necessary to address an emergent situation, such as a medical emergency, the Chief Patrol Agent may permit parole, with notice to the Deputy Commissioner of CBP as soon as practicable.

USBP personnel will utilize Forms I-247D and I-247N until the new forms are updated.

E. Parole/OR Authority Pursuant to Section 212(d)(5) and 236 of the INA

Despite USBPs exhaustive efforts to achieve the goal of apprehending and removing all individuals, it is understood that situations will emerge where field level commanders, in conjunction with sector staff, will render a decision in the interest of the government to release an alien who has been processed and placed in removal proceedings. Requests for parole or other release should be submitted sparingly, and only in individual cases where, after careful consideration of the circumstances, the release serves the best interests of the United States because of demonstrated urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit. Parole or other release, with all available safeguards, may also be warranted in instances where detention capacity limits CBP's ability to detain the alien consistent with legal requirements, including court orders.

Moreover, release in those situations where an alien is provided an NTA must be closely scrutinized by sector staff to ensure that all options are explored prior to releasing the alien. Release from CBP custody on an alien's own recognizance (OR) may only occur where approved by the Chief Patrol Agent. Each time an alien is released OR, there must be clearly articulable circumstances to justify the release and those circumstances must be noted in the narrative section of the I-213. Prior to

releasing any alien OR, every alternative must be explored and clearly articulated in the narrative section of the I-213. If ICE/ERO does not authorize detention space, then the Chief Patrol Agent will coordinate with Headquarters Border Patrol to reach an appropriate resolution prior to releasing the alien.

All other processing requirements remain in place. This includes the requirement that any illegal alien apprehended and in USBP custody and subject to expedited removal who claims credible fear will be referred to an asylum officer for proper disposition. Agents will document credible fear claims in the narrative section of the I-213 and M-444.

F. Expanding Expedited Removal Pursuant to Section 235(b)(1)(A)(iii)(I) of the INA

The Secretary's memorandum contemplates the expansion of Expedited Removal on terms to be specified. This expansion may not be implemented until such time as a Federal Register notice is issued and further guidance is provided.

G. Proper Processing and Treatment of Unaccompanied Alien Minors Encountered at the Border

Agents will continue to follow the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA) and the *Flores* Settlement Agreement, including all implementing policies and procedures, to ensure that all children, including unaccompanied alien children, are provided special protections to ensure that they are properly processed and receive the appropriate care and placement when they are encountered by USBP. Agents must complete Form 93 for all unaccompanied alien children. Mexican and Canadian unaccompanied alien children may be permitted to withdraw their application for admission and return to Mexico or Canada after proper coordination with the Mexican or Canadian Consulate has been completed. Unaccompanied alien children who are permitted to withdraw may be repatriated at the nearest port of entry to Mexican/Canadian Consulate officials at a time designated by the consulate official.

Additional policies, directives, and memoranda are currently being reviewed for possible modification or rescission in order to align with administration goals and objectives.

Staff may direct further questions concerning the above to the Law Enforcement Operations Directorate, Specialty Programs Division or to the Strategic Planning and Analysis Directorate, Labor and Policy Division at (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

FEB 22 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR: Patrol Agents in Charge and Program Managers
Laredo Sector

FROM: *for* Mario Martinez **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**
Chief Patrol Agent
Laredo Sector

SUBJECT: Executive Orders 13767/13768 and the Secretary's
Implementation Directions of February 20, 2017

On February 17, 2017, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the memoranda titled "Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies" and "Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest."

These new policies outline the implementation of the Executive Order 13767, entitled "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements," and Executive Order 13768, entitled "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States," issued by the President on January 25, 2017.

Patrol Agents in Charge and Program Managers should ensure all agents under their command are aware of and comply with this. The intent is to meet 100% compliance, however, if unable to meet this; please give justification why this cannot be met via a memorandum of compliance. Please submit your completion status through Security, Policy & Compliance by March 1, 2017 via electronic mail.

Direct questions to Director **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)** at Security, Policy & Compliance, at **(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)**

Attachments:

Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies
Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest
Executive Orders 13767/13768 and the Secretary's Implementation Directions of February 20, 2017
USBP Training PowerPoint



Homeland Security

February 20, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Kevin McAleenan
Acting Commissioner
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Thomas D. Homan
Acting Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Lori Scialabba
Acting Director
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Joseph B. Maher
Acting General Counsel

Dimple Shah
Acting Assistant Secretary for International Affairs

Chip Fulghum
Acting Undersecretary for Management

FROM:

John Kelly
Secretary

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBJECT:

**Implementing the President's Border Security and
Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies**

This memorandum implements the Executive Order entitled "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements," issued by the President on January 25, 2017, which establishes the President's policy regarding effective border security and immigration enforcement through faithful execution of the laws of the United States. It implements new policies designed to stem illegal immigration and facilitate the detection, apprehension, detention, and removal of aliens who have no lawful basis to enter or remain in the United States. It constitutes guidance to all Department personnel, and supersedes all existing conflicting policy, directives, memoranda, and other guidance regarding this subject matter—to the extent of the conflict—except as otherwise expressly stated in this memorandum.

A. Policies Regarding the Apprehension and Detention of Aliens Described in Section 235 of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

The President has determined that the lawful detention of aliens arriving in the United States and deemed inadmissible or otherwise described in section 235(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) pending a final determination of whether to order them removed, including determining eligibility for immigration relief, is the most efficient means by which to enforce the immigration laws at our borders. Detention also prevents such aliens from committing crimes while at large in the United States, ensures that aliens will appear for their removal proceedings, and substantially increases the likelihood that aliens lawfully ordered removed will be removed.

These policies are consistent with INA provisions that mandate detention of such aliens and allow me or my designee to exercise discretionary parole authority pursuant to section 212(d)(5) of the INA only on a case-by-case basis, and only for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit. Policies that facilitate the release of removable aliens apprehended at and between the ports of entry, which allow them to abscond and fail to appear at their removal hearings, undermine the border security mission. Such policies, collectively referred to as “catch-and-release,” shall end.

Accordingly, effective upon my determination of (1) the establishment and deployment of a joint plan with the Department of Justice to surge the deployment of immigration judges and asylum officers to interview and adjudicate claims asserted by recent border entrants; and, (2) the establishment of appropriate processing and detention facilities, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) personnel should only release from detention an alien detained pursuant to section 235(b) of the INA, who was apprehended or encountered after illegally entering or attempting to illegally enter the United States, in the following situations on a case-by-case basis, to the extent consistent with applicable statutes and regulations:

1. When removing the alien from the United States pursuant to statute or regulation;
2. When the alien obtains an order granting relief or protection from removal or the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) determines that the individual is a U.S. citizen, national of the United States, or an alien who is a lawful permanent resident, refugee, asylee, holds temporary protected status, or holds a valid immigration status in the United States;
3. When an ICE Field Office Director, ICE Special Agent-in-Charge, U.S. Border Patrol Sector Chief, CBP Director of Field Operations, or CBP Air & Marine Operations Director consents to the alien’s withdrawal of an application for admission, and the alien contemporaneously departs from the United States;
4. When required to do so by statute, or to comply with a binding settlement agreement or order issued by a competent judicial or administrative authority;

5. When an ICE Field Office Director, ICE Special Agent-in-Charge, U.S. Border Patrol Sector Chief, CBP Director of Field Operations, or CBP Air & Marine Operations Director authorizes the alien's parole pursuant to section 212(d)(5) of the INA with the written concurrence of the Deputy Director of ICE or the Deputy Commissioner of CBP, except in exigent circumstances such as medical emergencies where seeking prior approval is not practicable. In those exceptional instances, any such parole will be reported to the Deputy Director or Deputy Commissioner as expeditiously as possible; or
6. When an arriving alien processed under the expedited removal provisions of section 235(b) has been found to have established a "credible fear" of persecution or torture by an asylum officer or an immigration judge, provided that such an alien affirmatively establishes to the satisfaction of an ICE immigration officer his or her identity, that he or she presents neither a security risk nor a risk of absconding, and provided that he or she agrees to comply with any additional conditions of release imposed by ICE to ensure public safety and appearance at any removal hearings.

To the extent current regulations are inconsistent with this guidance, components will develop or revise regulations as appropriate. Until such regulations are revised or removed, Department officials shall continue to operate according to regulations currently in place.

As the Department works to expand detention capabilities, detention of all such individuals may not be immediately possible, and detention resources should be prioritized based upon potential danger and risk of flight if an individual alien is not detained, and parole determinations will be made in accordance with current regulations and guidance. *See* 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.5, 235.3. This guidance does not prohibit the return of an alien who is arriving on land to the foreign territory contiguous to the United States from which the alien is arriving pending a removal proceeding under section 240 of the INA consistent with the direction of an ICE Field Office Director, ICE Special Agent-in-Charge, CBP Chief Patrol Agent, or CBP Director of Field Operations.

B. Hiring More CBP Agents/Officers

CBP has insufficient agents/officers to effectively detect, track, and apprehend all aliens illegally entering the United States. The United States needs additional agents and officers to ensure complete operational control of the border. Accordingly, the Commissioner of CBP shall—while ensuring consistency in training and standards—immediately begin the process of hiring 5,000 additional Border Patrol agents, as well as 500 Air & Marine Agents/Officers, subject to the availability of resources, and take all actions necessary to ensure that such agents/officers enter on duty and are assigned to appropriate duty stations, including providing for the attendant resources and additional personnel necessary to support such agents, as soon as practicable.

Human Capital leadership in CBP and ICE, in coordination with the Under Secretary for

Management, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Human Capital Officer, shall develop hiring plans that balance growth and interagency attrition by integrating workforce shaping and career paths for incumbents and new hires.

C. Identifying and Quantifying Sources of Aid to Mexico

The President has directed the heads of all executive departments to identify and quantify all sources of direct and indirect Federal aid or assistance to the Government of Mexico. Accordingly, the Under Secretary for Management shall identify all sources of direct or indirect aid and assistance, excluding intelligence activities, from every departmental component to the Government of Mexico on an annual basis, for the last five fiscal years, and quantify such aid or assistance. The Under Secretary for Management shall submit a report to me reflecting historic levels of such aid or assistance provided annually within 30 days of the date of this memorandum.

D. Expansion of the 287(g) Program in the Border Region

Section 287(g) of the INA authorizes me to enter into a written agreement with a state or political subdivision thereof, for the purpose of authorizing qualified officers or employees of the state or subdivision to perform the functions of an immigration officer in relation to the investigation, apprehension, or detention of aliens in the United States. This grant of authority, known as the 287(g) Program, has been a highly successful force multiplier that authorizes state or local law enforcement personnel to perform all law enforcement functions specified in section 287(a) of the INA, including the authority to investigate, identify, apprehend, arrest, detain, transport and conduct searches of an alien for the purposes of enforcing the immigration laws. From January 2006 through September 2015, the 287(g) Program led to the identification of more than 402,000 removable aliens, primarily through encounters at local jails.

Empowering state and local law enforcement agencies to assist in the enforcement of federal immigration law is critical to an effective enforcement strategy. Aliens who engage in criminal conduct are priorities for arrest and removal and will often be encountered by state and local law enforcement officers during the course of their routine duties. It is in the interest of the Department to partner with those state and local jurisdictions through 287(g) agreements to assist in the arrest and removal of criminal aliens.

To maximize participation by state and local jurisdictions in the enforcement of federal immigration law near the southern border, I am directing the Director of ICE and the Commissioner of CBP to engage immediately with all willing and qualified law enforcement jurisdictions that meet all program requirements for the purpose of entering into agreements under 287(g) of the INA.

The Commissioner of CBP and the Director of ICE should consider the operational functions and capabilities of the jurisdictions willing to enter into 287(g) agreements and structure such agreements in a manner that employs the most effective enforcement model for that jurisdiction, including the jail enforcement model, task force officer model, or joint jail enforcement-task force officer model. In furtherance of my direction herein, the Commissioner of

CBP is authorized, in addition to the Director of ICE, to accept state services and take other actions as appropriate to carry out immigration enforcement pursuant to 287(g).

E. Commissioning a Comprehensive Study of Border Security

The Under Secretary for Management, in consultation with the Commissioner of CBP, Joint Task Force (Border), and Commandant of the Coast Guard, is directed to commission an immediate, comprehensive study of the security of the southern border (air, land and maritime) to identify vulnerabilities and provide recommendations to enhance border security. The study should include all aspects of the current border security environment, including the availability of federal and state resources to develop and implement an effective border security strategy that will achieve complete operational control of the border.

F. Border Wall Construction and Funding

A wall along the southern border is necessary to deter and prevent the illegal entry of aliens and is a critical component of the President's overall border security strategy. Congress has authorized the construction of physical barriers and roads at the border to prevent illegal immigration in several statutory provisions, including section 102 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1103 note.

Consistent with the President's Executive Order, the will of Congress and the need to secure the border in the national interest, CBP, in consultation with the appropriate executive departments and agencies, and nongovernmental entities having relevant expertise—and using materials originating in the United States to the maximum extent permitted by law—shall immediately begin planning, design, construction and maintenance of a wall, including the attendant lighting, technology (including sensors), as well as patrol and access roads, along the land border with Mexico in accordance with existing law, in the most appropriate locations and utilizing appropriate materials and technology to most effectively achieve operational control of the border.

The Under Secretary for Management, in consultation with the Commissioner of CBP shall immediately identify and allocate all sources of available funding for the planning, design, construction and maintenance of a wall, including the attendant lighting, technology (including sensors), as well as patrol and access roads, and develop requirements for total ownership cost of this project, including preparing Congressional budget requests for the current fiscal year (e.g., supplemental budget requests) and subsequent fiscal years.

G. Expanding Expedited Removal Pursuant to Section 235(b)(1)(A)(iii)(I) of the INA

It is in the national interest to detain and expeditiously remove from the United States aliens apprehended at the border, who have been ordered removed after consideration and denial of their claims for relief or protection. Pursuant to section 235(b)(1)(A)(i) of the INA, if an immigration officer determines that an arriving alien is inadmissible to the United States under

section 212(a)(6)(C) or section 212(a)(7) of the INA, the officer shall, consistent with all applicable laws, order the alien removed from the United States without further hearing or review, unless the alien is an unaccompanied alien child as defined in 6 U.S.C. § 279(g)(2), indicates an intention to apply for asylum or a fear of persecution or torture or a fear of return to his or her country, or claims to have a valid immigration status within the United States or to be a citizen or national of the United States.

Pursuant to section 235(b)(1)(A)(iii)(I) of the INA and other provisions of law, I have been granted the authority to apply, by designation in my sole and unreviewable discretion, the expedited removal provisions in section 235(b)(1)(A)(i) and (ii) of the INA to aliens who have not been admitted or paroled into the United States, who are inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(6)(C) or section 212(a)(7) of the INA, and who have not affirmatively shown, to the satisfaction of an immigration officer, that they have been continuously physically present in the United States for the two-year period immediately prior to the determination of their inadmissibility. To date, this authority has only been exercised to designate for application of expedited removal, aliens encountered within 100 air miles of the border and 14 days of entry, and aliens who arrived in the United States by sea other than at a port of entry.¹

The surge of illegal immigration at the southern border has overwhelmed federal agencies and resources and has created a significant national security vulnerability to the United States. Thousands of aliens apprehended at the border, placed in removal proceedings, and released from custody have absconded and failed to appear at their removal hearings. Immigration courts are experiencing a historic backlog of removal cases, primarily proceedings under section 240 of the INA for individuals who are not currently detained.

During October 2016 and November 2016, there were 46,184 and 47,215 apprehensions, respectively, between ports of entry on our southern border. In comparison, during October 2015 and November 2015 there were 32,724 and 32,838 apprehensions, respectively, between ports of entry on our southern border. This increase of 10,000–15,000 apprehensions per month has significantly strained DHS resources.

Furthermore, according to EOIR information provided to DHS, there are more than 534,000 cases currently pending on immigration court dockets nationwide—a record high. By contrast, according to some reports, there were nearly 168,000 cases pending at the end of fiscal year (FY) 2004 when section 235(b)(1)(A)(i) was last expanded.² This represents an increase of more than 200% in the number of cases pending completion. The average removal case for an alien who is not detained has been pending for more than two years before an immigration judge.³ In some immigration courts, aliens who are not detained will not have their cases heard by an

¹ Notice Designating Aliens Subject to Expedited Removal Under Section 235(b)(1)(a)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 67 Fed. Reg. 68924 (Nov. 13, 2002); Designating Aliens For Expedited Removal, 69 Fed. Reg. 48877 (Aug. 11, 2004); Eliminating Exception to Expedited Removal Authority for Cuban Nationals Encountered in the United States or Arriving by Sea, 82 Fed. Reg. 4902 (Jan. 17, 2017).

² Syracuse University, *Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC) Data Research*; available at http://trac.syr.edu/phptools/immigration/court_backlog/.

³ *Id.*

immigration judge for as long as five years. This unacceptable delay affords removable aliens with no plausible claim for relief to remain unlawfully in the United States for many years.

To ensure the prompt removal of aliens apprehended soon after crossing the border illegally, the Department will publish in the *Federal Register* a new Notice Designating Aliens Subject to Expedited Removal Under Section 235(b)(1)(a)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, which may, to the extent I determine is appropriate, depart from the limitations set forth in the designation currently in force. I direct the Commissioner of CBP and the Director of ICE to conform the use of expedited removal procedures to the designations made in this notice upon its publication.

H. Implementing the Provisions of Section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA to Return Aliens to Contiguous Countries

Section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA authorizes the Department to return aliens arriving on land from a foreign territory contiguous to the United States, to the territory from which they arrived, pending a formal removal proceeding under section 240 of the INA. When aliens so apprehended do not pose a risk of a subsequent illegal entry or attempted illegal entry, returning them to the foreign contiguous territory from which they arrived, pending the outcome of removal proceedings saves the Department's detention and adjudication resources for other priority aliens.

Accordingly, subject to the requirements of section 1232, Title 8, United States Code, related to unaccompanied alien children and to the extent otherwise consistent with the law and U.S. international treaty obligations, CBP and ICE personnel shall, to the extent appropriate and reasonably practicable, return aliens described in section 235(b)(2)(A) of the INA, who are placed in removal proceedings under section 240 of the INA—and who, consistent with the guidance of an ICE Field Office Director, CBP Chief Patrol Agent, or CBP Director of Field Operations, pose no risk of recidivism—to the territory of the foreign contiguous country from which they arrived pending such removal proceedings.

To facilitate the completion of removal proceedings for aliens so returned to the contiguous country, ICE Field Office Directors, ICE Special Agents-in-Charge, CBP Chief Patrol Agent, and CBP Directors of Field Operations shall make available facilities for such aliens to appear via video teleconference. The Director of ICE and the Commissioner of CBP shall consult with the Director of EOIR to establish a functional, interoperable video teleconference system to ensure maximum capability to conduct video teleconference removal hearings for those aliens so returned to the contiguous country.

I. Enhancing Asylum Referrals and Credible Fear Determinations Pursuant to Section 235(b)(1) of the INA

With certain exceptions, any alien who is physically present in the United States or who arrives in the United States (whether or not at a designated port of arrival and including an alien who is brought to the United States after having been interdicted in international or United States waters), irrespective of such alien's status, may apply for asylum. For those aliens who are subject

to expedited removal under section 235(b) of the INA, aliens who claim a fear of return must be referred to an asylum officer to determine whether they have established a credible fear of persecution or torture.⁴ To establish a credible fear of persecution, an alien must demonstrate that there is a “significant possibility” that the alien could establish eligibility for asylum, taking into account the credibility of the statements made by the alien in support of the claim and such other facts as are known to the officer.⁵

The Director of USCIS shall ensure that asylum officers conduct credible fear interviews in a manner that allows the interviewing officer to elicit all relevant information from the alien as is necessary to make a legally sufficient determination. In determining whether the alien has demonstrated a significant possibility that the alien could establish eligibility for asylum, or for withholding or deferral of removal under the Convention Against Torture, the asylum officer shall consider the statements of the alien and determine the credibility of the alien’s statements made in support of his or her claim and shall consider other facts known to the officer, as required by statute.⁶

The asylum officer shall make a positive credible fear finding only after the officer has considered all relevant evidence and determined, based on credible evidence, that the alien has a significant possibility of establishing eligibility for asylum, or for withholding or deferral of removal under the Convention Against Torture, based on established legal authority.⁷

The Director of USCIS shall also increase the operational capacity of the Fraud Detection and National Security (FDNS) Directorate and continue to strengthen the integration of its operations to support the Field Operations, Refugee, Asylum, and International Operations, and Service Center Operations Directorate, to detect and prevent fraud in the asylum and benefits adjudication processes, and in consultation with the USCIS Office of Policy and Strategy as operationally appropriate.

The Director of USCIS, the Commissioner of CBP, and the Director of ICE shall review fraud detection, deterrence, and prevention measures throughout their respective agencies and provide me with a consolidated report within 90 days of the date of this memorandum regarding fraud vulnerabilities in the asylum and benefits adjudication processes, and propose measures to enhance fraud detection, deterrence, and prevention in these processes.

J. Allocation of Resources and Personnel to the Southern Border for Detention of Aliens and Adjudication of Claims

The detention of aliens apprehended at the border is critical to the effective enforcement of the immigration laws. Aliens who are released from custody pending a determination of their removability are highly likely to abscond and fail to attend their removal hearings. Moreover, the screening of credible fear claims by USCIS and adjudication of asylum claims by EOIR at

⁴ See INA § 235(b)(1)(A)-(B); 8 C.F.R. §§ 235.3, 208.30.

⁵ See INA § 235(b)(1)(B)(v).

⁶ See *id.*

⁷ *Id.*

detention facilities located at or near the point of apprehension will facilitate an expedited resolution of those claims and result in lower detention and transportation costs.

Accordingly, the Director of ICE and the Commissioner of CBP should take all necessary action and allocate all available resources to expand their detention capabilities and capacities at or near the border with Mexico to the greatest extent practicable. CBP shall focus these actions on expansion of "short-term detention" (defined as 72 hours or less under 6 U.S.C. § 211(m)) capability, and ICE will focus these actions on expansion of all other detention capabilities. CBP and ICE should also explore options for joint temporary structures that meet appropriate standards for detention given the length of stay in those facilities.

In addition, to the greatest extent practicable, the Director of USCIS is directed to increase the number of asylum officers and FDNS officers assigned to detention facilities located at or near the border with Mexico to properly and efficiently adjudicate credible fear and reasonable fear claims and to counter asylum-related fraud.

K. Proper Use of Parole Authority Pursuant to Section 212(d)(5) of the INA

The authority to parole aliens into the United States is set forth in section 212(d)(5) of the INA, which provides that the Secretary may, in his discretion and on a case-by-case basis, temporarily parole into the United States any alien who is an applicant for admission for urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit. The statutory language authorizes parole in individual cases only where, after careful consideration of the circumstances, it is necessary because of demonstrated urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit. In my judgment, such authority should be exercised sparingly.

The practice of granting parole to certain aliens in pre-designated categories in order to create immigration programs not established by Congress, has contributed to a border security crisis, undermined the integrity of the immigration laws and the parole process, and created an incentive for additional illegal immigration.

Therefore, the Director of USCIS, the Commissioner of CBP, and the Director of ICE shall ensure that, pending the issuance of final regulations clarifying the appropriate use of the parole power, appropriate written policy guidance and training is provided to employees within those agencies exercising parole authority, including advance parole, so that such employees are familiar with the proper exercise of parole under section 212(d)(5) of the INA and exercise such parole authority only on a case-by-case basis, consistent with the law and written policy guidance.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this memorandum, pending my further review and evaluation of the impact of operational changes to implement the Executive Order, and additional guidance on the issue by the Director of ICE, the ICE policy directive establishing standards and procedures for the parole of certain arriving aliens found to have a credible fear of persecution or

torture shall remain in full force and effect.⁸ The ICE policy directive shall be implemented in a manner consistent with its plain language. In every case, the burden to establish that his or her release would neither pose a danger to the community, nor a risk of flight remains on the individual alien, and ICE retains ultimate discretion whether it grants parole in a particular case.

L. Proper Processing and Treatment of Unaccompanied Alien Minors Encountered at the Border

In accordance with section 235 of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (codified in part at 8 U.S.C. § 1232) and section 462 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. § 279), unaccompanied alien children are provided special protections to ensure that they are properly processed and receive the appropriate care and placement when they are encountered by an immigration officer. An unaccompanied alien child, as defined in section 279(g)(2), Title 6, United States Code, is an alien who has no lawful immigration status in the United States, has not attained 18 years of age; and with respect to whom, (1) there is no parent or legal guardian in the United States, or (2) no parent or legal guardian in the United States is available to provide care and physical custody.

Approximately 155,000 unaccompanied alien children have been apprehended at the southern border in the last three years. Most of these minors are from El Salvador, Honduras, and Guatemala, many of whom travel overland to the southern border with the assistance of a smuggler who is paid several thousand dollars by one or both parents, who reside illegally in the United States.

With limited exceptions, upon apprehension, CBP or ICE must promptly determine if a child meets the definition of an “unaccompanied alien child” and, if so, the child must be transferred to the custody of the Office of Refugee Resettlement within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) within 72 hours, absent exceptional circumstances.⁹ The determination that the child is an “unaccompanied alien child” entitles the child to special protections, including placement in a suitable care facility, access to social services, removal proceedings before an immigration judge under section 240 of the INA, rather than expedited removal proceedings under section 235(b) of the INA, and initial adjudication of any asylum claim by USCIS.¹⁰

Approximately 60% of minors initially determined to be “unaccompanied alien children” are placed in the care of one or more parents illegally residing in the United States. However, by Department policy and practice, such minors maintained their status as “unaccompanied alien children,” notwithstanding that they may no longer meet the statutory definition once they have been placed by HHS in the custody of a parent in the United States who can care for the minor. Exploitation of that policy led to abuses by many of the parents and legal guardians of those minors and has contributed to significant administrative delays in adjudications by immigration

⁸ ICE Policy No. 11002.1: Parole of Arriving Aliens Found to Have a Credible Fear of Persecution or Torture (Dec. 8, 2009).

⁹ See 8 U.S.C. § 1232(b)(3).

¹⁰ See generally 8 U.S.C. § 1232; INA § 208(b)(3)(C).

courts and USCIS.

To ensure identification of abuses and the processing of unaccompanied alien children consistent with the statutory framework and any applicable court order, the Director of USCIS, the Commissioner of CBP, and the Director of ICE are directed to develop uniform written guidance and training for all employees and contractors of those agencies regarding the proper processing of unaccompanied alien children, the timely and fair adjudication of their claims for relief from removal, and, if appropriate, their safe repatriation at the conclusion of removal proceedings. In developing such guidance and training, they shall establish standardized review procedures to confirm that alien children who are initially determined to be “unaccompanied alien child[ren],” as defined in section 279(g)(2), Title 6, United States Code, continue to fall within the statutory definition when being considered for the legal protections afforded to such children as they go through the removal process.

M. Accountability Measures to Protect Alien Children from Exploitation and Prevent Abuses of Our Immigration Laws

Although the Department’s personnel must process unaccompanied alien children pursuant to the requirements described above, we have an obligation to ensure that those who conspire to violate our immigration laws do not do so with impunity—particularly in light of the unique vulnerabilities of alien children who are smuggled or trafficked into the United States.

The parents and family members of these children, who are often illegally present in the United States, often pay smugglers several thousand dollars to bring their children into this country. Tragically, many of these children fall victim to robbery, extortion, kidnapping, sexual assault, and other crimes of violence by the smugglers and other criminal elements along the dangerous journey through Mexico to the United States. Regardless of the desires for family reunification, or conditions in other countries, the smuggling or trafficking of alien children is intolerable.

Accordingly, the Director of ICE and the Commissioner of CBP shall ensure the proper enforcement of our immigration laws against any individual who—directly or indirectly—facilitates the illegal smuggling or trafficking of an alien child into the United States. In appropriate cases, taking into account the risk of harm to the child from the specific smuggling or trafficking activity that the individual facilitated and other factors relevant to the individual’s culpability and the child’s welfare, proper enforcement includes (but is not limited to) placing any such individual who is a removable alien into removal proceedings, or referring the individual for criminal prosecution.

N. Prioritizing Criminal Prosecutions for Immigration Offenses Committed at the Border

The surge of illegal immigration at the southern border has produced a significant increase in organized criminal activity in the border region. Mexican drug cartels, Central American gangs, and other violent transnational criminal organizations have established sophisticated criminal

enterprises on both sides of the border. The large-scale movement of Central Americans, Mexicans, and other foreign nationals into the border area has significantly strained federal agencies and resources dedicated to border security. These criminal organizations have monopolized the human trafficking, human smuggling, and drug trafficking trades in the border region.

It is in the national interest of the United States to prevent criminals and criminal organizations from destabilizing border security through the proliferation of illicit transactions and violence perpetrated by criminal organizations.

To counter this substantial and ongoing threat to the security of the southern border—including threats to our maritime border and the approaches—the Directors of the Joint Task Forces-West, -East, and -Investigations, as well as the ICE-led Border Enforcement Security Task Forces (BESTs), are directed to plan and implement enhanced counternetwork operations directed at disrupting transnational criminal organizations, focused on those involved in human smuggling. The Department will support this work through the Office of Intelligence and Analysis, CBP's National Targeting Center, and the DHS Human Smuggling Cell.

In addition, the task forces should include participants from other federal, state, and local agencies, and should target individuals and organizations whose criminal conduct undermines border security or the integrity of the immigration system, including offenses related to alien smuggling or trafficking, drug trafficking, illegal entry and reentry, visa fraud, identity theft, unlawful possession or use of official documents, and acts of violence committed against persons or property at or near the border.

In order to support the efforts of the BESTs and counter network operations of the Joint Task Forces, the Director of ICE shall increase the number of special agents and analysts in the Northern Triangle ICE Attaché Offices and increase the number of vetted Transnational Criminal Investigative Unit international partners. This expansion of ICE's international footprint will focus both domestic and international efforts to dismantle transnational criminal organizations that are facilitating and profiting from the smuggling routes to the United States.

O. Public Reporting of Border Apprehensions Data

The Department has an obligation to perform its mission in a transparent and forthright manner. The public is entitled to know, with a reasonable degree of detail, information pertaining to the aliens unlawfully entering at our borders.

Therefore, consistent with law, in an effort to promote transparency and renew confidence in the Department's border security mission, the Commissioner of CBP and the Director of ICE shall develop a standardized method for public reporting of statistical data regarding aliens apprehended at or near the border for violating the immigration law. The reporting method shall include uniform terminology and shall utilize a format that is easily understandable by the public in a medium that can be readily accessed.

At a minimum, in addition to statistical information currently being publicly reported regarding apprehended aliens, the following information must be included: the number of convicted criminals and the nature of their offenses; the prevalence of gang members and prior immigration violators; the custody status of aliens and, if released, the reason for release and location of that release; and the number of aliens ordered removed and those aliens physically removed.

P. No Private Right of Action

This document provides only internal DHS policy guidance, which may be modified, rescinded, or superseded at any time without notice. This guidance is not intended to, does not, and may not be relied upon to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by any party in any administrative, civil, or criminal matter. Likewise, no limitations are placed by this guidance on the otherwise lawful enforcement or litigation prerogatives of DHS.

In implementing this guidance, I direct DHS Components to consult with legal counsel to ensure compliance with all applicable laws, including the Administrative Procedure Act.



Homeland Security

February 20, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Kevin McAleenan
Acting Commissioner
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Thomas D. Homan
Acting Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Lori Scialabba
Acting Director
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Joseph B. Maher
Acting General Counsel

Dimple Shah
Acting Assistant Secretary for International Affairs

Chip Fulghum
Acting Undersecretary for Management

FROM:

John Kelly
Secretary

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

SUBJECT:

Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest

This memorandum implements the Executive Order entitled "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States," issued by the President on January 25, 2017. It constitutes guidance for all Department personnel regarding the enforcement of the immigration laws of the United States, and is applicable to the activities of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). As such, it should inform enforcement and removal activities, detention decisions, administrative litigation, budget requests and execution, and strategic planning.

With the exception of the June 15, 2012, memorandum entitled “Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children,” and the November 20, 2014 memorandum entitled “Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children and with Respect to Certain Individuals Who Are the Parents of U.S. Citizens or Permanent Residents,”¹ all existing conflicting directives, memoranda, or field guidance regarding the enforcement of our immigration laws and priorities for removal are hereby immediately rescinded—to the extent of the conflict—including, but not limited to, the November 20, 2014, memoranda entitled “Policies for the Apprehension, Detention and Removal of Undocumented Immigrants,” and “Secure Communities.”

A. The Department’s Enforcement Priorities

Congress has defined the Department’s role and responsibilities regarding the enforcement of the immigration laws of the United States. Effective immediately, and consistent with Article II, Section 3 of the United States Constitution and Section 3331 of Title 5, United States Code, Department personnel shall faithfully execute the immigration laws of the United States against all removable aliens.

Except as specifically noted above, the Department no longer will exempt classes or categories of removable aliens from potential enforcement. In faithfully executing the immigration laws, Department personnel should take enforcement actions in accordance with applicable law. In order to achieve this goal, as noted below, I have directed ICE to hire 10,000 officers and agents expeditiously, subject to available resources, and to take enforcement actions consistent with available resources. However, in order to maximize the benefit to public safety, to stem unlawful migration and to prevent fraud and misrepresentation, Department personnel should prioritize for removal those aliens described by Congress in Sections 212(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(6)(C), 235(b) and (c), and 237(a)(2) and (4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

Additionally, regardless of the basis of removability, Department personnel should prioritize removable aliens who: (1) have been convicted of any criminal offense; (2) have been charged with any criminal offense that has not been resolved; (3) have committed acts which constitute a chargeable criminal offense; (4) have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with any official matter before a governmental agency; (5) have abused any program related to receipt of public benefits; (6) are subject to a final order of removal but have not complied with their legal obligation to depart the United States; or (7) in the judgment of an immigration officer, otherwise pose a risk to public safety or national security. The Director of ICE, the Commissioner of CBP, and the Director of USCIS may, as they determine is appropriate, issue further guidance to allocate appropriate resources to prioritize enforcement activities within these categories—for example, by prioritizing enforcement activities against removable aliens who are convicted felons or who are involved in gang activity or drug trafficking.

¹ The November 20, 2014, memorandum will be addressed in future guidance.

B. Strengthening Programs to Facilitate the Efficient and Faithful Execution of the Immigration Laws of the United States

Facilitating the efficient and faithful execution of the immigration laws of the United States—and prioritizing the Department’s resources—requires the use of all available systems and enforcement tools by Department personnel.

Through passage of the immigration laws, Congress established a comprehensive statutory regime to remove aliens expeditiously from the United States in accordance with all applicable due process of law. I determine that the faithful execution of our immigration laws is best achieved by using all these statutory authorities to the greatest extent practicable. Accordingly, Department personnel shall make full use of these authorities.

Criminal aliens have demonstrated their disregard for the rule of law and pose a threat to persons residing in the United States. As such, criminal aliens are a priority for removal. The Priority Enforcement Program failed to achieve its stated objectives, added an unnecessary layer of uncertainty for the Department’s personnel, and hampered the Department’s enforcement of the immigration laws in the interior of the United States. Effective immediately, the Priority Enforcement Program is terminated and the Secure Communities Program shall be restored. To protect our communities and better facilitate the identification, detention, and removal of criminal aliens within constitutional and statutory parameters, the Department shall eliminate the existing Forms I-247D, I-247N, and I-247X, and replace them with a new form to more effectively communicate with recipient law enforcement agencies. However, until such forms are updated they may be used as an interim measure to ensure that detainers may still be issued, as appropriate.

ICE’s Criminal Alien Program is an effective tool to facilitate the removal of criminal aliens from the United States, while also protecting our communities and conserving the Department’s detention resources. Accordingly, ICE should devote available resources to expanding the use of the Criminal Alien Program in any willing jurisdiction in the United States. To the maximum extent possible, in coordination with the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), removal proceedings shall be initiated against aliens incarcerated in federal, state, and local correctional facilities under the Institutional Hearing and Removal Program pursuant to section 238(a) of the INA, and administrative removal processes, such as those under section 238(b) of the INA, shall be used in all eligible cases.

The INA § 287(g) Program has been a highly successful force multiplier that allows a qualified state or local law enforcement officer to be designated as an “immigration officer” for purposes of enforcing federal immigration law. Such officers have the authority to perform all law enforcement functions specified in section 287(a) of the INA, including the authority to investigate, identify, apprehend, arrest, detain, and conduct searches authorized under the INA, under the direction and supervision of the Department.

There are currently 32 law enforcement agencies in 16 states participating in the 287(g)

Program. In previous years, there were significantly more law enforcement agencies participating in the 287(g) Program. To the greatest extent practicable, the Director of ICE and Commissioner of CBP shall expand the 287(g) Program to include all qualified law enforcement agencies that request to participate and meet all program requirements. In furtherance of this direction and the guidance memorandum, "Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies" (Feb. 20, 2017), the Commissioner of CBP is authorized, in addition to the Director of ICE, to accept State services and take other actions as appropriate to carry out immigration enforcement pursuant to section 287(g) of the INA.

C. Exercise of Prosecutorial Discretion

Unless otherwise directed, Department personnel may initiate enforcement actions against removable aliens encountered during the performance of their official duties and should act consistently with the President's enforcement priorities identified in his Executive Order and any further guidance issued pursuant to this memorandum. Department personnel have full authority to arrest or apprehend an alien whom an immigration officer has probable cause to believe is in violation of the immigration laws. They also have full authority to initiate removal proceedings against any alien who is subject to removal under any provision of the INA, and to refer appropriate cases for criminal prosecution. The Department shall prioritize aliens described in the Department's Enforcement Priorities (Section A) for arrest and removal. This is not intended to remove the individual, case-by-case decisions of immigration officers.

The exercise of prosecutorial discretion with regard to any alien who is subject to arrest, criminal prosecution, or removal in accordance with law shall be made on a case-by-case basis in consultation with the head of the field office component, where appropriate, of CBP, ICE, or USCIS that initiated or will initiate the enforcement action, regardless of which entity actually files any applicable charging documents: CBP Chief Patrol Agent, CBP Director of Field Operations, ICE Field Office Director, ICE Special Agent-in-Charge, or the USCIS Field Office Director, Asylum Office Director or Service Center Director.

Except as specifically provided in this memorandum, prosecutorial discretion shall not be exercised in a manner that exempts or excludes a specified class or category of aliens from enforcement of the immigration laws. The General Counsel shall issue guidance consistent with these principles to all attorneys involved in immigration proceedings.

D. Establishing the Victims of Immigration Crime Engagement (VOICE) Office

Criminal aliens routinely victimize Americans and other legal residents. Often, these victims are not provided adequate information about the offender, the offender's immigration status, or any enforcement action taken by ICE against the offender. Efforts by ICE to engage these victims have been hampered by prior Department of Homeland Security (DHS) policy extending certain Privacy Act protections to persons other than U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents, leaving victims feeling marginalized and without a voice. Accordingly, I am establishing the Victims of Immigration Crime Engagement (VOICE) Office within the Office of

the Director of ICE, which will create a programmatic liaison between ICE and the known victims of crimes committed by removable aliens. The liaison will facilitate engagement with the victims and their families to ensure, to the extent permitted by law, that they are provided information about the offender, including the offender's immigration status and custody status, and that their questions and concerns regarding immigration enforcement efforts are addressed.

To that end, I direct the Director of ICE to immediately reallocate any and all resources that are currently used to advocate on behalf of illegal aliens (except as necessary to comply with a judicial order) to the new VOICE Office, and to immediately terminate the provision of such outreach or advocacy services to illegal aliens.

Nothing herein may be construed to authorize disclosures that are prohibited by law or may relate to information that is Classified, Sensitive but Unclassified (SBU), Law Enforcement Sensitive (LES), For Official Use Only (FOUO), or similarly designated information that may relate to national security, law enforcement, or intelligence programs or operations, or disclosures that are reasonably likely to cause harm to any person.

E. Hiring Additional ICE Officers and Agents

To enforce the immigration laws effectively in the interior of the United States in accordance with the President's directives, additional ICE agents and officers are necessary. The Director of ICE shall—while ensuring consistency in training and standards—take all appropriate action to expeditiously hire 10,000 agents and officers, as well as additional operational and mission support and legal staff necessary to hire and support their activities. Human Capital leadership in CBP and ICE, in coordination with the Under Secretary for Management and the Chief Human Capital Officer, shall develop hiring plans that balance growth and interagency attrition by integrating workforce shaping and career paths for incumbents and new hires.

F. Establishment of Programs to Collect Authorized Civil Fines and Penalties

As soon as practicable, the Director of ICE, the Commissioner of CBP, and the Director of USCIS shall issue guidance and promulgate regulations, where required by law, to ensure the assessment and collection of all fines and penalties which the Department is authorized under the law to assess and collect from aliens and from those who facilitate their unlawful presence in the United States.

G. Aligning the Department's Privacy Policies With the Law

The Department will no longer afford Privacy Act rights and protections to persons who are neither U.S. citizens nor lawful permanent residents. The DHS Privacy Office will rescind the DHS *Privacy Policy Guidance memorandum*, dated January 7, 2009, which implemented the DHS "mixed systems" policy of administratively treating all personal information contained in DHS record systems as being subject to the Privacy Act regardless of the subject's immigration status. The DHS Privacy Office, with the assistance of the Office of the General Counsel, will

develop new guidance specifying the appropriate treatment of personal information DHS maintains in its record systems.

H. Collecting and Reporting Data on Alien Apprehensions and Releases

The collection of data regarding aliens apprehended by ICE and the disposition of their cases will assist in the development of agency performance metrics and provide transparency in the immigration enforcement mission. Accordingly, to the extent permitted by law, the Director of ICE shall develop a standardized method of reporting statistical data regarding aliens apprehended by ICE and, at the earliest practicable time, provide monthly reports of such data to the public without charge.

The reporting method shall include uniform terminology and shall utilize a format that is easily understandable by the public and a medium that can be readily accessed. At a minimum, in addition to statistical information currently being publicly reported regarding apprehended aliens, the following categories of information must be included: country of citizenship, convicted criminals and the nature of their offenses, gang members, prior immigration violators, custody status of aliens and, if released, the reason for release and location of their release, aliens ordered removed, and aliens physically removed or returned.

The ICE Director shall also develop and provide a weekly report to the public, utilizing a medium that can be readily accessed without charge, of non-Federal jurisdictions that release aliens from their custody, notwithstanding that such aliens are subject to a detainer or similar request for custody issued by ICE to that jurisdiction. In addition to other relevant information, to the extent that such information is readily available, the report shall reflect the name of the jurisdiction, the citizenship and immigration status of the alien, the arrest, charge, or conviction for which each alien was in the custody of that jurisdiction, the date on which the ICE detainer or similar request for custody was served on the jurisdiction by ICE, the date of the alien's release from the custody of that jurisdiction and the reason for the release, an explanation concerning why the detainer or similar request for custody was not honored, and all arrests, charges, or convictions occurring after the alien's release from the custody of that jurisdiction.

I. No Private Right of Action

This document provides only internal DHS policy guidance, which may be modified, rescinded, or superseded at any time without notice. This guidance is not intended to, does not, and may not be relied upon to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by any party in any administrative, civil, or criminal matter. Likewise, no limitations are placed by this guidance on the otherwise lawful enforcement or litigation prerogatives of DHS.

In implementing these policies, I direct DHS Components to consult with legal counsel to ensure compliance with all applicable laws, including the Administrative Procedure Act.

(b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

FEB 21 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Chief Patrol Agents
All Directorate Chiefs (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

FROM: Ronald D. Vitiello (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
for Chief
U.S. Border Patrol

SUBJECT: Executive Orders 13767 and 13768 and the Secretary's
Implementation Directions of February 20, 2017

On February 20, 2017, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the attached memoranda titled *"Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies"* and *"Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest."* These new policies outline the implementation of Executive Order 13767, entitled "Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements," and Executive Order 13768, entitled "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States," issued by the President on January 25, 2017. Effective immediately, U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) will implement this direction from the Secretary, with particular guidance as set forth below.

A. Policies Regarding the Apprehension and Detention of Aliens Described in Section 235 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

In fulfilling the President's Executive Orders, USBP will, effective immediately, begin implementing new policies to both stem the flow of illegal immigration and facilitate the detection, apprehension, and removal of aliens unlawfully present in the United States. With the exception of the June 15, 2012 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children," (DACA) and the November 20, 2014 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children and With Respect to Certain Individuals Who Are the Parents of U.S. Citizens or Permanent Residents," (DACA and DAPA) all existing conflicting directives, memoranda, or field guidance regarding the enforcement of our immigration laws and priorities for removal are hereby immediately rescinded; including, but not limited to, the November 20, 2014, memoranda entitled "Policies for the Apprehension, Detention and Removal of Undocumented Immigrants," and "Secure Communities." As the referenced 2012 and 2014 DACA and DAPA memoranda remain in effect, along with applicable court orders, the DHS and CBP posture with respect to DACA and DAPA is not affected by issuance of the Secretary's memoranda of February 20, 2017.

B. Priorities For Removal

USBP will take enforcement action against all illegal aliens encountered in the course of their duties who illegally enter, attempt to enter, or who do not have lawful status to be, or remain in, the United States. This includes the referral for criminal prosecution of any alien as appropriate as well as the initiation of removal proceedings against any alien who is subject to removal under any provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

Specifically, USBP shall prioritize for removal those aliens found inadmissible or arrested under sections 212(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(6)(C), 235(b) and (c), and 237(a)(2) and (4) of the INA, with emphasis on criminal aliens demonstrating disregard for the rule of law. USBP should take particular care to prioritize the removal of aliens who:

- (1) Have been convicted of any criminal offense;
- (2) Have been charged with any criminal offense that has not been resolved;
- (3) Have committed acts which constitute a chargeable criminal offense;
- (4) Have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with any official matter before a governmental agency;
- (5) Have abused any program related to receipt of public benefits;
- (6) Are subject to a final order of removal but have not complied with their legal obligation to depart the United States; or
- (7) In the judgment of an immigration officer, otherwise pose a risk to public safety or national security.

Aliens listed above do not necessarily have to be placed in removal proceedings based on a criminal ground of inadmissibility or removability. Instead, USBP should prioritize individuals within the above priorities for removal proceedings within the lawfully available removable grounds.

Additionally, agents are reminded that individuals that are found to be present without admission (PWA), require coordination with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) for potential detention space. All arrests are an opportunity to gain valuable border security information and are eligible for removal proceedings within the lawfully available removable grounds.

The enforcement priorities should be implemented in accordance with the Border Patrol Consequence Delivery System in the following order of preference:

- (1) Expedited Removal (ER), if applicable
- (2) Notice to Appear
- (3) Voluntary Return

C. Implementing the Provisions of Section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA to Return Aliens to Contiguous Countries

As set forth in INA 235(b)(2)(C), aliens arriving from Mexico or Canada that are processed via Notice to Appear may be returned to that country pending a hearing before an immigration judge. Given the need for further leadership coordination prior to implementation of this authority, USBP

Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies including Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest

Page 3

will postpone full implementation of this specific section of the INA until such arrangements are in place, in coordination with the Governments of Mexico and Canada as may be appropriate, and upon the development and issuance of further USBP guidance.

D. Detention of Aliens in Border Patrol Custody

Consistent with the Secretary's memorandum "Implementing the President's Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Policies," and in close consultation with ICE's Enforcement and Removal Operations' (ERO) efforts to expand detention space, USBP should work to refer for detention all aliens placed in removal proceedings until such time as the alien is transferred to another federal, state or local entity. Aliens who have been placed in expedited removal, including family units, may not be released or paroled from USBP's custody except where:

1. The release is part of USBP's overall effort of removing or permitting the alien to depart from the United States.
2. USBP determines the individual is a U.S. citizen, LPR, returning refugee, or asylee.
3. USBP determines that the alien has received an order granting relief or protection from removal.
4. Where, in consultation with local Associate/Assistant Chief Counsel as may be appropriate, the release is determined to be required by statute, judicial order, or settlement.
5. Parole is approved by the Chief Patrol Agent on a case by case basis, with the written concurrence of the Deputy Director of ICE and the Deputy Commissioner of CBP.
6. Parole is necessary to address an emergent situation, such as a medical emergency, the Chief Patrol Agent may permit parole, with notice to the Deputy Commissioner of CBP as soon as practicable.

USBP personnel will utilize Forms I-247D and I-247N until the new forms are updated.

E. Parole/OR Authority Pursuant to Section 212(d)(5) and 236 of the INA

Despite USBPs exhaustive efforts to achieve the goal of apprehending and removing all individuals, it is understood that situations will emerge where field level commanders, in conjunction with sector staff, will render a decision in the interest of the government to release an alien who has been processed and placed in removal proceedings. Requests for parole or other release should be submitted sparingly, and only in individual cases where, after careful consideration of the circumstances, the release serves the best interests of the United States because of demonstrated urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit. Parole or other release, with all available safeguards, may also be warranted in instances where detention capacity limits CBP's ability to detain the alien consistent with legal requirements, including court orders.

Moreover, release in those situations where an alien is provided an NTA must be closely scrutinized by sector staff to ensure that all options are explored prior to releasing the alien. Release from CBP custody on an alien's own recognizance (OR) may only occur where approved by the Chief Patrol Agent. Each time an alien is released OR, there must be clearly articulable circumstances to justify the release and those circumstances must be noted in the narrative section of the I-213. Prior to

releasing any alien OR, every alternative must be explored and clearly articulated in the narrative section of the I-213. If ICE/ERO does not authorize detention space, then the Chief Patrol Agent will coordinate with Headquarters Border Patrol to reach an appropriate resolution prior to releasing the alien.

All other processing requirements remain in place. This includes the requirement that any illegal alien apprehended and in USBP custody and subject to expedited removal who claims credible fear will be referred to an asylum officer for proper disposition. Agents will document credible fear claims in the narrative section of the I-213 and M-444.

F. Expanding Expedited Removal Pursuant to Section 235(b)(1)(A)(iii)(I) of the INA

The Secretary's memorandum contemplates the expansion of Expedited Removal on terms to be specified. This expansion may not be implemented until such time as a Federal Register notice is issued and further guidance is provided.

G. Proper Processing and Treatment of Unaccompanied Alien Minors Encountered at the Border

Agents will continue to follow the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPRA) and the *Flores* Settlement Agreement, including all implementing policies and procedures, to ensure that all children, including unaccompanied alien children, are provided special protections to ensure that they are properly processed and receive the appropriate care and placement when they are encountered by USBP. Agents must complete Form 93 for all unaccompanied alien children. Mexican and Canadian unaccompanied alien children may be permitted to withdraw their application for admission and return to Mexico or Canada after proper coordination with the Mexican or Canadian Consulate has been completed. Unaccompanied alien children who are permitted to withdraw may be repatriated at the nearest port of entry to Mexican/Canadian Consulate officials at a time designated by the consulate official.

Additional policies, directives, and memoranda are currently being reviewed for possible modification or rescission in order to align with administration goals and objectives.

Staff may direct further questions concerning the above to the Law Enforcement Operations Directorate, Specialty Programs Division or to the Strategic Planning and Analysis Directorate, Labor and Policy Division at: (b)(7)(E)

Department of Homeland Security

Implementing the President's Border Security and
Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies

and

Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the
National Interest



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

DHS Guidance

- On February 17, 2017, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the memoranda titled *“Implementing the President’s Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements Policies”* and *“Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest.”*
- These new policies outline the implementation of the Executive Order 13767, entitled “Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements,” and Executive Order 13768, entitled “Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States,” issued by the President on January 25, 2017.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

DHS Guidance cont'd

- In fulfilling the President's Border Security and Improvement Policies, immediately, the U.S. Border Patrol will, effective immediately, begin implementing new policies to both stem the flow of illegal immigration and facilitate the detection, apprehension, and removal of aliens unlawfully present in the United States.
- With the exception of the June 15, 2012 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children," and the November 20, 2014 memorandum entitled "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children and With Respect to Certain Individuals Who Are the Parents of U.S. Citizens or Permanent Residents," all existing conflicting directives, memoranda, or field guidance regarding the enforcement of our immigration laws and priorities for removal are hereby immediately rescinded; including, but not limited to, the November 20, 2014, memoranda entitled "Policies for the Apprehension, Detention and Removal of Undocumented Immigrants," and "Secure Communities



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Priorities for Removal

USBP will take enforcement action against all illegal aliens encountered in the course of their duties who illegally enter, attempt to enter, or who do not have lawful status to be, or remain in, the United States. This includes the referral for criminal prosecution of any alien as appropriate as well as the initiation of removal proceedings against any alien who is subject to removal under any provision of the INA.

- As the referenced 2012 and 2014 DACA and DAPA memoranda remain in effect, along with applicable court orders, the DHS and CBP posture with respect to DACA and DAPA is not affected by issuance of the Secretary's memoranda of February 20, 2017.

USBP should take particular care to prioritize the removal of aliens who:

- have been convicted of any criminal offense;
- have been charged with any criminal offense that has not been resolved;



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Priorities for Removal cont'd

- have committed acts which constitute a chargeable criminal offense;
- have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with any official matter before a governmental agency;
- have abused any program related to receipt of public benefits;
- are subject to a final order of removal but have not complied with their legal obligation to depart the United States; or
- in the judgment of an immigration officer, otherwise pose a risk to public safety or national security.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Priorities for Removal cont'd

Aliens listed on the previous slides do not necessarily have to be placed in removal proceedings based on a criminal ground of inadmissibility or removability. Instead, USBP should prioritize individuals within the above priorities for removal proceedings within the lawfully available removable grounds. This may include those PWA. Sectors are encouraged to coordinate with ERO as needed.

The enforcement priority should be in accordance with the Border Patrol Consequence Delivery System in the following order of preference:

- (1) Expedited Removal (ER), if applicable,
- (2) Notice to Appear
- (3) Voluntary Return



Plans to Implement Provisions of Section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA to Return Aliens to Contiguous Countries

As set forth in INA 235(b)(2)(C), aliens arriving from Mexico or Canada that are processed via Notice to Appear may be returned to that country pending a hearing before an immigration judge.

Given the need for further leadership coordination prior to implementation of this authority, USBP will postpone full implementation of this specific section of the INA until such arrangements are in place, in coordination with the Governments of Mexico and Canada as may be appropriate, and upon the development and issuance of further USBP guidance.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Aliens In Custody

USBP will detain all aliens placed in removal proceedings until such time as the alien is transferred to another federal, state or local entity. Aliens who have been placed in expedited removal, including family units, may not be released or paroled from USBP's custody except where:

- The release is part of USBP's overall effort to removing or permitting the alien to depart from the United States.
- USBP determines the alien is a U.S. citizen, LPR, returning refugee, or asylee.
- USBP determines that the alien has received an order granting relief or protection from removal.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Aliens In Custody cont'd

- Where, in consultation with local Associate/Assistant Chief Counsel as may be appropriate, the release is determined to be required by statute, judicial order, or settlement.
- Parole is approved by the Sector Chief on a case by case basis, with the written concurrence of the Deputy Director of ICE and the Deputy Commissioner of CBP.
- Parole is necessary to address an emergent situation, such as a medical emergency, the Sector Chief may permit parole, with notice to the Deputy Director of ICE or Deputy Commissioner of CBP as soon as practicable.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Parole/OR Authority Pursuant to Section 212(d)(5) and 236 of the INA

Requests for parole or other release should be submitted sparingly, and only in individual cases. Examples include:

- The release serves the best interests of the United States because of demonstrated urgent humanitarian reasons or significant public benefit.

(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Parole/OR Authority Pursuant to Section 212(d)(5) and 236 of the INA cont'd

All processing options must be explored prior to issuing a NTA/OR. Release from CBP custody on an alien's own recognizance (OR) may only occur where approved by the Chief Patrol Agent.

- Each time an alien is released OR, there must be clearly articulable circumstances to justify the release and those circumstances must be noted in the narrative section of the I-213.
- Prior to releasing any alien OR, every alternative must be explored and clearly articulated in the narrative section of the I-213.
- If ICE/ERO does not authorize detention space, then the Chief Patrol Agent will coordinate with Headquarters Border Patrol to reach an appropriate resolution prior to releasing the alien.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Expanding Expedited Removal Pursuant to Section 235(b)(1)(A)(iii)(I) of the INA

- The Secretary's memorandum contemplates the expansion of Expedited Removal on terms to be specified.
- This expansion may not be implemented until such time as a Federal Register notice is issued and further guidance is provided.

Training will be provided to field staff once Headquarters Staff receives further guidance and instruction from DHS.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Immigration Detainer Guidance

- USBP should continue working with other Federal, State and Local law enforcement agencies with regard to Immigration Detainers.
- USBP will continue to utilize existing detainer forms until such forms are replaced and disseminated to the field.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Where do I locate the forms?

(b)(5), (b)(7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

UAC Processing and Treatment

- Agents will continue to follow the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 (TVPPRA) and the Flores Settlement Agreement, including all implementing policies and procedures, to ensure that all children, including unaccompanied alien children, are provided special protections to ensure that they are properly processed and receive the appropriate care and placement when they are encountered by USBP.
- Agents must complete Form 93 for all unaccompanied alien children.
- Mexican and Canadian unaccompanied alien children may be permitted to withdraw their application for admission and return to Mexico or Canada after proper coordination with the Mexican or Canadian Consulate has been completed.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

UAC Processing and Treatment cont'd

- Unaccompanied alien children who are permitted to withdraw may be repatriated at the nearest port of entry to Mexican/Canadian Consulate officials at a time designated by the consulate official.



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection